

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED'STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
02/12/2000	Nicholas R. Dono	YO-999-567	6067		
01/20/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
FERENCE & ASSOCIATES 400 BROAD STREET			CHANG, ERIC		
EE1 A 15143		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		- 2116	17		
	02/12/2000 01/20/2004 SOCIATES EET	02/12/2000 Nicholas R. Dono 01/20/2004 SOCIATES EET	02/12/2000 Nicholas R. Dono YO-999-567  01/20/2004 EXAMIN  SOCIATES CHANG,  EET  A 15143 ART UNIT  - 2116		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

Application No.   DoNO ET AL.   DONO ET AL.   DONO ET AL.   Examiner   Art Unit   Eric Chang    At Unit   2185   A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the processions of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a nept be timely filed with so that of the process of the conversation of the process of the process of the conversation of the process of the						~ ~
Examiner			Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)	
Fire Chang    Strice Chang    Strice			09/503,067		DONO ET AL.	U
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eatherises for time ray be available under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed  if the period for reply specified shove is less than thirty (00) days, a reply within his statisticy minimum of thirty (00) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified shove is less than thirty (00) days, a reply within his statisticy minimum of thirty (00) days, a reply within his statistics will be considered timely.  If No period for reply specified shove is less than thirty (00) days, a reply within his statistics will be considered timely.  If No period for reply specified shove is less than thirty (00) days, a reply within his statistics will be considered timely.  If No period for reply specified shove is less than thirty (00) days, a reply within his statistics will be considered timely.  If No period for reply specified shove is less than thirty (00) days.  If No period for reply specified shove is less than thirty (00) days.  Provided the statistics of the second statistics will be considered timely.  If No period for reply specified shove is the malling date of this communication.  Provided the second statistics will be considered to the second statistics of the second statistics will be considered timely.  If No period the second statistics will be considered to second statistics.  If No period statistics will be considered to second statistics.  If No period statistics will be considered to second statistics.  If No period statistics will be considered to second statistics.  If No period statistics will be considered to second statistics.  If No period statistics will be considered to second statistics.  If No period statistics will be second statistics.  If No period statistics will be second statistics.  If No period s	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extractions of them may be evaluate under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extractions of them may be evaluated under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extractions of them may be evaluated under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extractions of the provision of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extractions of the provision of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extractions of the provision of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, filed provisions of 17 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, filed provisions of 17 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, filed provisions of 17 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, filed provisions of 17 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, filed provisions of 17 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, filed provisions of 18 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, filed provi			Eric Chang		2185	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editanisms of tilm may be validable under the provision of 30 FFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied offer SX (8) MONTRS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set of validable of the communication of 10 FFR 1.136(a).  Failure to reply within the set of valendade period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Failure to reply within the set of valendade period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Failure to reply within the set of valendade period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Failure to reply within the set of valendade period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application, even if timely flied, may reduce any statistic time of the set of t	Period f		ion appears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	SS
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.3-6.8-23 and 25-31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1.3-6.8-23 and 25-31 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1.3-6.8-23 in/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to see 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some of Claim for foreign priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a).  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(c) (to a provisional applica	THE - Extended after - If there is no incomplete Fail - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event ation. ays, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will a by statute, cause the applica	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-23 and 25-31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.155(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(e)  10 Notic	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>03 November 200</u>	<u>)3</u> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-23 and 25-31 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-23 and 25-31 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some or One or:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17, 2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(e)  10) Not	2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non	-final.		
4)	3)[					erits is
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  Altachment(s)  10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposit	tion of Claims				
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Altachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are value Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,8-23 and 25-31</u> is/are rejuding.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.	vithdrawn from cons	sideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Altachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			rand/or election req	direment.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•			objected to by the F	- - - - -	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Altachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,			-		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						. 121(d).
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) 13)□ / s 3 14)□ /	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority doc  2.□ Certified copies of the priority doc  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dince a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78.  3)□ The translation of the foreign langual Acknowledgment is made of a claim for detailed.	cuments have been cuments have been ne priority document Bureau (PCT Rule or a list of the certific omestic priority und the first sentence of age provisional applicomestic priority und	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)). and copies not received er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) f the specification or ication has been received er 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  d in this National Stand.  d)  (to a provisional apin an Application Date)  eived.  and/or 121 since a specific process.	plication) a Sheet. pecific
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_	• •				
	2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S	948) 5	) Notice of Informal Pa		

Application/Control Number: 09/503,067

Art Unit: 2185

[c] said subsystem facilitates integration of said device with an operating system [col. 4, lines 48-67, and col. 5, lines 1-25]; and

[d] said at least one description subsystem comprising interface logic for interpreting commands received over an interface between said device and a computer operating system [col. 4, lines 32-39], including a network location where said information may be obtained [col. 5, lines 14-19].

Ludtke teaches a hardware component that contains a description subsystem that makes available identification information and device drivers in order to facilitate integration with a computer, and the operating system thereon by using an interface on the device to retrieve descriptive information about said device.

Ludtke teaches all of the limitations of the claims, including means to update the self-descriptive information in the device, and providing a network location where said information may be obtained [col. 5, lines 14-19]. However, Ludtke does not specifically teach that the updating information further comprises a recent version of a device driver obtainable at the network location.

Chiles discloses the logic provides a network location where a recent version of a device driver is obtainable [col. 12, lines 63-65].

At the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ the device driver location as taught by Chiles. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so that the device driver may be retrieved using the updating method as taught by Ludtke.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,067

Page 4

Art Unit: 2185

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the cited references because they are both directed to the problem of storing descriptive information about a device. Moreover, the update means taught by Chiles would improve the flexibility of Ludtke because it allowed for the specific retrieval of a device driver from a remote network location.

- 6. As to claim 3, Ludtke discloses the device comprises:
  - [a] non-volatile memory [FIG. 2 element 20, and col. 4, lines 32-39]; and
- [b] interface logic being adapted to control said non-volatile memory [FIG. 2 element 24, and col. 5, lines 61-67].

Ludtke teaches that the device contains a non-volatile memory, and bus interface logic whereby data and software in the firmware may be controlled via said interface logic.

- 7. As to claims 4 and 21, Ludtke discloses the logic facilitates identification of said device [FIG. 3, element 25, and col. 6, lines 7-12].
- 8. As to claims 5 and 22, Ludtke discloses the logic facilitates the provision of information to an operating system relating to the version of said device driver [col. 5, lines 19-25]. Ludtke teaches the descriptive information contains the driver for the device; it is well known in the art that drivers usually comprise version information about themselves.

Art Unit: 2185

9. As to claims 6-12 and 23-30, Ludtke teaches all of the limitations of the claims, including means to update the self-descriptive information in the device, and providing a network location where said information may be obtained [col. 5, lines 14-19]. However, Ludtke does not teach a method of updating said information whereby the method further comprises version upgrades and error handling.

Chiles teaches the method of updating the identification information and device drivers substantially as claimed, wherein the specific limitations of the claims are described below.

At the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ the update method as taught by Chiles. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so that the identification information and device drivers stored on the device as taught by Ludtke can be updated.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the cited references because they are both directed to the problem of storing device drivers and identification. Moreover, the update means taught by Chiles would improve the flexibility of Ludtke because it allowed for variations within the update process for the self-descriptive information of a device.

- 10. As to claims 6 and 23, Chiles discloses the logic assists an operating system in obtaining a copy of the device driver for installation [col. 3, lines 10-24].
- 11. As to claims 8 and 25, Chiles discloses the logic facilitates the updating of a network location where a recent version of a device driver is obtainable [col. 31, lines 32-44].

- 12. As to claims 9 and 26, Chiles discloses the logic facilitates the updating of the device driver information stored on the device [col. 3, lines 10-24].
- 13. As to claims 10, 27 and 30, Chiles further discloses logic that compares the locally stored device driver with a remotely stored device driver to determine which one is newer [col. 15, lines 61-67 and col. 16, lines 1-5] and to prompt usage of the newer version [col. 16, lines 22-24].
- 14. As to claims 11 and 28, Chiles further discloses logic that prompts usage of locally stored device driver if a remotely stored device driver is not accessible [col. 15, lines 1-17]. Chiles teaches that the user is notified and prompted if the connection to the remote device driver fails.
- As to claims 12 and 29, Chiles further discloses logic that compares said locally stored device driver with a remotely stored device driver at predetermined time intervals [col. 15, lines 5-13 and col. 16, lines 32-43]. Chiles teaches that the logic automatically schedules a date for the next update to the device driver; that is, after the predetermined time interval as scheduled, the process to compare and update device drivers will once again occur.
- 16. As to claims 13-19, Ludtke teaches all of the limitations of the claims but does not specifically teach the devices that can use the limitations.

Chiles teaches that device can be a modem, graphics card, or other computer peripheral with software and firmware updates made available by its manufacturer [col. 1, lines 62-67].

At the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ the devices as taught by Chiles. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so that the devices are self-descriptive.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the cited references because they are both directed to the problem of information stored on a non-volatile memory within a device. Moreover, the devices taught by Chiles would improve the utility of Ludtke because it allowed his teachings to be applied to a variety of applications not disclosed by Ludtke.

Furthermore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that such devices could further comprise a printer, a sound card, IDE/SCSI disk controller, or a network controller, substantially as claimed.

- 17. As to claim 20, Ludtke discloses a self-describing peripheral device for being integrated with a computer operating system, comprising a description subsystem on said device for facilitating integration with said operating system. Because Ludtke teaches the device, Ludtke also teaches the method of integrating said device with an operating system, substantially as claimed.
- 18. As to claim 31, Ludtke discloses a method of integrating a self-describing peripheral device with a computer operating system, said device comprising a description subsystem for facilitating integration with said operating system. Because Ludtke teaches the method, Ludtke

Art Unit: 2185

also teaches the method may be implemented as a program of instructions stored in a program storage device, substantially as claimed.

## Conclusion

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Chang whose telephone number is (703) 305-4612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Lee can be reached on (703) 305-9717. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

ec

THOMAS LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100